

**Remarks/Arguments:**

In the Restriction Requirement Under 35 U.S.C. § 121 mailed July 3, 2006 the Examiner asserted that the following inventions are independent and distinct within the presently pending application:

- I. Claims 1-4; and
- II. Claim 5.

The Examiner required election of a single invention.

With respect to the Examiner's election requirement, the Examiner is invited to consider the following remarks/arguments.

By this response, Applicant's Representative elects claims 1-4 (i.e., Invention I) and withdraws claim 5, without traverse.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this application, as amended in response to the Restriction Requirement, is respectfully requested.

SERIAL NO.: 10/822,355

ATTY. DOCKET NO.: TA-3217

Response to Restriction Requirement of July 3, 2006

No fees are believed to be due for the filing of this paper. Please charge any related fees and credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 19-2201.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's Representative to discuss prompt resolution of any remaining issues necessary to place this case in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

U.S. Army Tank-automotive and Armaments Command LCMC

David L. Kuhn

Luis Miguel Acosta

Thomas W. Saur

By 

Thomas W. Saur, Reg. No. 45,075

Tel. No. (586) 574-5681

Date: Or by 11 Jul 06